



The recovery process is becoming the focus of wide ranging adverse comments.

[return](#)

L X **Co** = **R**

85 X **20** = **41**

Dynamic Equation Table

Calculate

Snapshot

Applies To	Question	Category	Weight	Present
↑ Likelihood	A town resident has complained about the low priority provided to his town in the recovery process.	Public outrage likelihood indicator	10	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
↑ Likelihood	A member of the state media has written a derogatory article on the recovery process.	Public outrage likelihood indicator	10	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
↑ Likelihood	The Commonwealth Government has raised its concerns with the funding allocated to the recovery process by the state Government.	Public outrage likelihood indicator	25	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
↑ Likelihood	State local government mayors have raised their concerns with the slow rate of the recovery process in their communities.	Public outrage likelihood indicator	20	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
↑ Consequence	Between 50 - 100 adverse comments have been made on the recovery process in the period 1 January - 31 March 2013.	Public outrage consequence indicator	45	<input type="checkbox"/>
↑ Consequence	Between 20 - 50 adverse comments have been made on the recovery process in the period 1 January - 31 March 2013.	Public outrage consequence indicator	30	<input type="checkbox"/>
↑ Consequence	Between 1 - 20 adverse comments have been made on the recovery process in the period 1 January - 31 March 2013.	Public outrage consequence indicator	15	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
↑ Consequence	Between 1 - 10 adverse newspaper articles have been written on the recovery process in the period 1 January - 31 March 2013.	Public outrage consequence indicator	20	<input type="checkbox"/>
↑ Likelihood	Environmental groups are beginning to collect information on the potential adverse impact of the recovery process on the maritime environment.	Public outrage likelihood indicator	15	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
↑ Likelihood	Local groups of residents are beginning to organise and complain about the recovery process.	Public outrage likelihood indicator	25	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
↓ Likelihood	State emergency management organises stakeholder groups to support the recovery process.	Public outrage likelihood control	15	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
↓ Consequence	The state government appoint senior military personnel to supervise aspects of the recovery.	Public outrage consequence control	20	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
↓ Consequence	The state government allocates additional funds to the recovery process.	Public outrage consequence control	30	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
↓ Consequence	Senior emergency management personnel interact with Stakeholder groups on the recovery process.	Public outrage consequence control	20	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
↓ Consequence	Emergency management project personnel interact with Stakeholder groups in all affected coastal communities.	Public outrage consequence control	15	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
↓ Likelihood	State emergency management personnel meet with the local community interest groups and provide information on the recovery measures being planned and implemented in the coastal state communities.	Public outrage likelihood indicator	25	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
↓ Likelihood	State emergency management working parties are deployed to affected communities to undertake clean up and recovery tasks.	Public outrage likelihood indicator	30	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Risk Parameters

Name	Range	Description	Colour
Extreme	86-100	Immediate action required by senior management.	100
High	46-85	Attention required by management and senior staff.	85
Moderate	16-45	Responsibility specified and delegated.	45
Low	6-15	Manage by routine procedures.	15
Very Low	0-5	Monitor by routine observations.	5